Mobility changes

Google prepared this report to help you and public health officials understand responses to social distancing guidance related to COVID-19. This report shouldn’t be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn’t intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don’t recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We’ll leave a region out of the report if we don’t have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation
-51%
compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-27%
compared to baseline

Parks
-12%
compared to baseline
Transit stations
-60% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces
-40% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential
+13% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.
Adams County

Retail & recreation
-49% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-25% compared to baseline

Parks
-12% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-30% compared to baseline

Workplace
-36% compared to baseline

Residential
+13% compared to baseline

Alamosa County

Retail & recreation
-44% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-28% compared to baseline

Parks
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-28% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Arapahoe County

Retail & recreation  
-52% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy  
-30% compared to baseline

Parks  
+14% compared to baseline

Transit stations  
-36% compared to baseline

Workplace  
-40% compared to baseline

Residential  
+14% compared to baseline

Archuleta County

Retail & recreation  
-60% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy  
-28% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐  
Not enough data for this date

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ⭐  
Not enough data for this date

Workplace  
-44% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐  
Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Boulder County

Retail & recreation: -59% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -32% compared to baseline
Parks: -7% compared to baseline
Transit stations: -44% compared to baseline
Workplace: -47% compared to baseline
Residential: +15% compared to baseline

Broomfield County

Retail & recreation: -57% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -30% compared to baseline
Parks: +9% compared to baseline
Transit stations: -46% compared to baseline
Workplace: -41% compared to baseline
Residential: +30% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Chaffee County

Retail & recreation -56% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy -31% compared to baseline
Parks -36% compared to baseline
Transit stations: Not enough data for this date
Workplace -45% compared to baseline
Residential: Not enough data for this date

Clear Creek County

Retail & recreation -88% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy -55% compared to baseline
Parks -42% compared to baseline
Transit stations: Not enough data for this date
Workplace -40% compared to baseline
Residential: Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Conejos County

Retail & recreation ✽
Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy ✽
Not enough data for this date

Parks ✽
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✽
Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✽
-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✽
Not enough data for this date

Delta County

Retail & recreation
-41% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✽
-40% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✽
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✽
+5% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Denver County

**Retail & recreation**
-63% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-25% compared to baseline

**Parks**
-17% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-75% compared to baseline

**Workplace**
-43% compared to baseline

**Residential**
+16% compared to baseline

Douglas County

**Retail & recreation**
-56% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-36% compared to baseline

**Parks**
+10% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-50% compared to baseline

**Workplace**
-43% compared to baseline

**Residential**
+14% compared to baseline

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Eagle County

Retail & recreation
-75% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-47% compared to baseline

Parks
-46% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-67% compared to baseline

Workplace
-74% compared to baseline

Residential
+26% compared to baseline

El Paso County

Retail & recreation
-45% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-22% compared to baseline

Parks
-1% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-55% compared to baseline

Workplace
-40% compared to baseline

Residential
+12% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
**Garfield County**

Retail & recreation: -51% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy: -35% compared to baseline

Parks: +4% compared to baseline

Transit stations: -34% compared to baseline

Workplace: -45% compared to baseline

Residential: +22% compared to baseline

**Gilpin County**

Retail & recreation: Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy: Not enough data for this date

Parks: Not enough data for this date

Transit stations: Not enough data for this date

Workplace: -67% compared to baseline

Residential: Not enough data for this date

*Not enough data for this date:* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Grand County

Retail & recreation: -85% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -49% compared to baseline
Parks: -84% compared to baseline
Transit stations: -43% compared to baseline
Workplace: -60% compared to baseline
Residential: Not enough data for this date

Gunnison County

Retail & recreation: -73% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -43% compared to baseline
Parks: -50% compared to baseline
Transit stations: Not enough data for this date
Workplace: -55% compared to baseline
Residential: Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Huerfano County

Retail & recreation ★
-62% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-43% compared to baseline

Parks ★
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ★
Not enough data for this date

Workplace ★
-28% compared to baseline

Residential ★
Not enough data for this date

Jefferson County

Retail & recreation
-53% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-41% compared to baseline

Parks
-3% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-39% compared to baseline

Workplace
-43% compared to baseline

Residential
+14% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Kit Carson County

Retail & recreation
-39% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-20% compared to baseline

Parks
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-18% compared to baseline

Workplace
-13% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

La Plata County

Retail & recreation
-58% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-28% compared to baseline

Parks
+4% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-68% compared to baseline

Workplace
-43% compared to baseline

Residential
+25% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Lake County

**Retail & recreation ★**
-82% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-33% compared to baseline

**Parks ★**
Not enough data for this date

**Transit stations ★**
Not enough data for this date

**Workplace ★**
-57% compared to baseline

**Residential ★**
Not enough data for this date

Larimer County

**Retail & recreation**
-53% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-27% compared to baseline

**Parks**
+1% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-38% compared to baseline

**Workplace**
-38% compared to baseline

**Residential**
+13% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Las Animas County

Retail & recreation
-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-23% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-21% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Lincoln County

Retail & recreation
-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ⭐
-31% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-31% compared to baseline

Workplace ⭐
-30% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐
Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Logan County

Retail & recreation
-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-15% compared to baseline

Parks ✹
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✹
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✹
Not enough data for this date

Mesa County

Retail & recreation
-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-21% compared to baseline

Parks
+11% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-35% compared to baseline

Workplace
-35% compared to baseline

Residential
+9% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Moffat County

Retail & recreation
-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-22% compared to baseline

Parks ★
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ★
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-36% compared to baseline

Residential ★
Not enough data for this date

Montezuma County

Retail & recreation
-39% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-14% compared to baseline

Parks ★
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ★
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-31% compared to baseline

Residential ★
-1% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Montrose County

Retail & recreation
-45% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-31% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-36% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐
+17% compared to baseline

Morgan County

Retail & recreation
-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-33% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐
+0% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-23% compared to baseline

Workplace
-18% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐
+14% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Otero County

**Retail & recreation**
-32% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-28% compared to baseline

**Parks**
Not enough data for this date

**Transit stations**
Not enough data for this date

**Workplace**
-25% compared to baseline

**Residential**
Not enough data for this date

Ouray County

**Retail & recreation**
-28% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
Not enough data for this date

**Parks**
Not enough data for this date

**Transit stations**
Not enough data for this date

**Workplace**
-56% compared to baseline

**Residential**
Not enough data for this date

*Not enough data for this date:* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Park County

Retail & recreation 🌟
-84% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy 🌟
-44% compared to baseline

Parks 🌟
+3% compared to baseline

Transit stations 🌟
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-52% compared to baseline

Residential 🌟
Not enough data for this date

Pitkin County

Retail & recreation
-100% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-56% compared to baseline

Parks 🌟
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-85% compared to baseline

Workplace
-75% compared to baseline

Residential 🌟
Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Prowers County

Retail & recreation
-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-25% compared to baseline

Parks
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-33% compared to baseline

Workplace
-17% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

Pueblo County

Retail & recreation
-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-21% compared to baseline

Parks
-45% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-32% compared to baseline

Workplace
-29% compared to baseline

Residential
+9% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
**Routt County**

Retail & recreation  
-82% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy  
-49% compared to baseline

Parks  
-48% compared to baseline

Transit stations  
-65% compared to baseline

Workplace  
-62% compared to baseline

Residential  
-2% compared to baseline

---

**Saguache County**

Retail & recreation  
Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy  
Not enough data for this date

Parks  
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations  
Not enough data for this date

Workplace  
-44% compared to baseline

Residential  
Not enough data for this date

*Not enough data for this date:* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
San Miguel County

Retail & recreation ✪
-80% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✪

Parks ✪

Transit stations ✪

Workplace ✪
-69% compared to baseline

Residential ✪

Summit County

Retail & recreation
-86% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-73% compared to baseline

Parks
-74% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✪
-67% compared to baseline

Workplace
-71% compared to baseline

Residential ✪
+5% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Teller County

Retail & recreation
-46% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-23% compared to baseline

Parks ✭
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✭
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-45% compared to baseline

Residential
-1% compared to baseline

Weld County

Retail & recreation
-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-26% compared to baseline

Parks
-4% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-32% compared to baseline

Workplace
-33% compared to baseline

Residential
+12% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Yuma County

Retail & recreation
-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-22% compared to baseline

Parks ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ⭐
Not enough data for this date

Workplace ⭐
-30% compared to baseline

Residential ⭐
Not enough data for this date
About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the median value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn’t met (when somewhere isn’t busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don’t show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people’s privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual’s location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn’t shared.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility