Oklahoma   March 29, 2020

Mobility changes

Google prepared this report to help you and public health officials understand responses to social distancing guidance related to COVID-19. This report shouldn't be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn't intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don't recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We'll leave a region out of the report if we don't have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation

-36%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-12%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

# +29%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.

Transit stations

-23%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-32%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+9%

compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.

Adair County

Retail & recreation
-3% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Atoka County

### Retail & recreation
-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-19% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-26% compared to baseline

Workplace ✱
-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Beckham County

Retail & recreation

-20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline

Workplace

-13% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Blaine County

Retail & recreation ✱

-12% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Bryan County

### Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-25% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-21% compared to baseline

Workplace

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+15% compared to baseline

Caddo County

Retail & recreation

-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-10% compared to baseline

Workplace

-35% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Canadian County

### Retail & recreation

-33% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+85% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-9% compared to baseline

Workplace

-37% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Carter County

Retail & recreation

-27% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplace

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cherokee County

## Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline

Parks ✻

-38% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✻

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✻

+17% compared to baseline

Choctaw County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline

Parks ✻

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✻

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✻

-21% compared to baseline

Residential ✻

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Cleveland County

Retail & recreation
-46% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-22% compared to baseline

Parks
+26% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✷
Not enough data for this date

Workplace
-39% compared to baseline

Residential
+11% compared to baseline

Coal County

Retail & recreation ✷
Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy ✷
Not enough data for this date

Parks ✷
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✷
Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✷
-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✷
Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Comanche County

Retail & recreation

-38% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+66% compared to baseline

Transit stations

+5% compared to baseline

Workplace

**-38%** compared to baseline

Residential

+9% compared to baseline


Cotton County

### Retail & recreation ✱

Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-23% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-43% compared to baseline

Workplace ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Craig County

### Retail & recreation

-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-21% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline



Workplace ✱

**-24%** compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Creek County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-31% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Custer County

### Retail & recreation

-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-6% compared to baseline

Workplace

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Delaware County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+20% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-13% compared to baseline

Workplace

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+12% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Garfield County

Retail & recreation

-38% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-19% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-36% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Workplace

-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

Garvin County

Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-27% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplace

-19% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

-4% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Grady County

### Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-37% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

Greer County

Retail & recreation ✱

Not enough data for this date


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Not enough data for this date

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

*Not enough data for this date*

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Haskell County

### Retail & recreation

-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+14% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Hughes County

Retail & recreation

-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-29% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Jackson County

Retail & recreation

−29% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

−25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

Jefferson County

Retail & recreation ✱

Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

+5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

−41% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

✱ **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Johnston County

Retail & recreation ✱

-47% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+0% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Kay County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-25% compared to baseline

Workplace

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Kingfisher County

## Retail & recreation

-8% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Not enough data for this date

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Kiowa County

Retail & recreation ✱

-15% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-20% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

*Not enough data for this date*

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Latimer County

Retail & recreation ✱

-19% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Le Flore County

Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-23% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Lincoln County

Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-31% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+12% compared to baseline

Logan County

Retail & recreation

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplace

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+17% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Love County

Retail & recreation

-37% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Grocery & pharmacy

-9% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Workplace ✱

-44% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Major County

Retail & recreation ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Workplace ✱

-24% compared to baseline

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

+80%
+40%
Baseline
-40%
-80%
Sun Feb 16   Sun Mar 8   Sun Mar 29

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Marshall County

Retail & recreation

-13% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-27% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

*Not enough data for this date*

Mayes County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

## Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Workplace

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# McClain County

Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-13% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-42% compared to baseline

Workplace

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+17% compared to baseline

McCurtain County

Retail & recreation

-23% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-11% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Workplace

-18% compared to baseline

### Residential ✱

+9% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

McIntosh County

### Retail & recreation

-15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+25% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

+8% compared to baseline

Workplace

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

Not enough data for this date

Murray County

Retail & recreation

-16% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

-22% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✳

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Muskogee County

## Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-10% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+1% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-8% compared to baseline

Workplace

-24% compared to baseline

Residential

+14% compared to baseline

Noble County

## Retail & recreation

-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-9% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-50% compared to baseline

Workplace ✱

-33% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Nowata County

Retail & recreation ✱

-8% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-62% compared to baseline

Workplace ✱

**-29%** compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Okfuskee County

Retail & recreation ✱

-32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

**-20%** compared to baseline

Workplace ✱

-31% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Oklahoma County

### Retail & recreation

-43% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-16% compared to baseline

Parks

+24% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-37% compared to baseline

Workplace

**-35%** compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Okmulgee County

Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-6% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Workplace

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

# Osage County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-39% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+15% compared to baseline

Ottawa County

Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-35% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+8% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pawnee County

Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-25% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Payne County

Retail & recreation

-44% compared to baseline


Grocery & pharmacy

-19% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

+22% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-41% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+18% compared to baseline

Pittsburg County

Retail & recreation

-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-2% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-42% compared to baseline

Workplace

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+12% compared to baseline

Pontotoc County

Retail & recreation

-31% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-15% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-34% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+16% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Pottawatomie County

### Retail & recreation

-36% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-35% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-35% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

Pushmataha County

Retail & recreation ✱

-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-17% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Rogers County

### Retail & recreation

-29% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-3% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

-10% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-32% compared to baseline

Residential

+11% compared to baseline

Seminole County

Retail & recreation

-22% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-1% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Workplace

-22% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Sequoyah County

Retail & recreation

-26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+11% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-11% compared to baseline

Workplace

-32% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

+14% compared to baseline

Stephens County

Retail & recreation

-35% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-12% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-29% compared to baseline


Residential ✱

+13% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Texas County

## Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-4% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations

-13% compared to baseline

Workplace

-24% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Tillman County

Retail & recreation ✱

-12% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

-8% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-30% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Tulsa County

Retail & recreation

-42% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-18% compared to baseline

Parks

-22% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-22% compared to baseline

Workplace

-36% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

Wagoner County

Retail & recreation

-21% compared to baseline

### Grocery & pharmacy

+7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-35% compared to baseline

Residential

+10% compared to baseline

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Washington County

Retail & recreation

-30% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✳

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-26% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

+15% compared to baseline

Washita County

Retail & recreation ✳

Not enough data for this date

Grocery & pharmacy ✳

-17% compared to baseline

Parks ✳

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✳

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✳

-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✳

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

Woods County

### Retail & recreation

-12% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✱

Not enough data for this date

Parks ✱

+24% compared to baseline

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace ✱

-38% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

Woodward County

Retail & recreation

-25% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-7% compared to baseline

Parks ✱

Not enough data for this date

Transit stations ✱

Not enough data for this date

Workplace

-25% compared to baseline

Residential ✱

Not enough data for this date

* **Not enough data for this date**: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.

About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

● The baseline is the *median* value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.

● The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn't met (when somewhere isn't busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don't show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people's privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual's location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn't shared.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility