Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn’t be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn’t intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don’t recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We’ll leave a region out of the report if we don’t have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation

-81% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-44% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

-61% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.
Transit stations

-70% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces

-58% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential

+30% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.
Amazonas

**Retail & recreation**
-84% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-81% compared to baseline

**Parks**
-46% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-71% compared to baseline

**Workplace**
-29% compared to baseline

**Residential**
Not enough data for this date

Ancash

**Retail & recreation**
-83% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-48% compared to baseline

**Parks**
-53% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-80% compared to baseline

**Workplace**
-50% compared to baseline

**Residential**
+26% compared to baseline

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Apurimac

Retail & recreation: -79% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -40% compared to baseline
Parks: -50% compared to baseline
Transit stations: -75% compared to baseline
Workplace: -37% compared to baseline
Residential: Not enough data for this date

Arequipa

Retail & recreation: -84% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy: -51% compared to baseline
Parks: -53% compared to baseline
Transit stations: -69% compared to baseline
Workplace: -56% compared to baseline
Residential: +30% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Ayacucho

Retail & recreation
-73% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-37% compared to baseline

Parks
-57% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-81% compared to baseline

Workplace
-41% compared to baseline

Residential ★
+27% compared to baseline

*Cajamarca

Retail & recreation
-77% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-45% compared to baseline

Parks
-54% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-73% compared to baseline

Workplace
-41% compared to baseline

Residential
+24% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Callao Region

Retail & recreation
-73% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-35% compared to baseline

Parks
-55% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-84% compared to baseline

Workplace
-61% compared to baseline

Residential
+30% compared to baseline

Sat Mar 28
Sat Apr 18
Sat May 9

Baseline

-40%

-80%

Cusco

Retail & recreation
-85% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-62% compared to baseline

Parks
-63% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-73% compared to baseline

Workplace
-50% compared to baseline

Residential
+30% compared to baseline
Huancavelica

Retail & recreation ✽
-78% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy ✽
Not enough data for this date

Parks
-39% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-66% compared to baseline

Workplace
-28% compared to baseline

Residential ✽
Not enough data for this date

Huanuco

Retail & recreation
-78% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-48% compared to baseline

Parks
-57% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-75% compared to baseline

Workplace
-43% compared to baseline

Residential ✽
+24% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Ica

Retail & recreation
-80% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-43% compared to baseline

Parks
-63% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-83% compared to baseline

Workplace
-46% compared to baseline

Residential
+28% compared to baseline

Junin

Retail & recreation
-83% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-45% compared to baseline

Parks
-47% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-76% compared to baseline

Workplace
-46% compared to baseline

Residential
+27% compared to baseline
La Libertad

Retail & recreation
-82% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-38% compared to baseline

Parks
-58% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-71% compared to baseline

Workplace
-52% compared to baseline

Residential
+27% compared to baseline

Lambayeque

Retail & recreation
-88% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-65% compared to baseline

Parks
-61% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-83% compared to baseline

Workplace
-61% compared to baseline

Residential
+30% compared to baseline
Lima Region

Retail & recreation
-80% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-53% compared to baseline

Parks
-66% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-79% compared to baseline

Workplace
-38% compared to baseline

Residential
+25% compared to baseline

Loreto

Retail & recreation
-85% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-58% compared to baseline

Parks
-65% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-61% compared to baseline

Workplace
-54% compared to baseline

Residential
+29% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Madre de Dios

Retail & recreation
-77% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-40% compared to baseline

Parks
-60% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-45% compared to baseline

Workplace
-32% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

Metropolitan Municipality of Lima

Retail & recreation
-81% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-42% compared to baseline

Parks
-68% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-67% compared to baseline

Workplace
-64% compared to baseline

Residential
+32% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Moquegua

Retail & recreation
-68% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-50% compared to baseline

Parks
-65% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-88% compared to baseline

Workplace
-41% compared to baseline

Residential
+38% compared to baseline

Pasco

Retail & recreation
-73% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-53% compared to baseline

Parks
-44% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-79% compared to baseline

Workplace
-32% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
**Piura**

- Retail & recreation: -82% compared to baseline
  
- Grocery & pharmacy: -59% compared to baseline
  
- Parks: -58% compared to baseline

- Transit stations: -77% compared to baseline

- Workplace: -54% compared to baseline

- Residential: +28% compared to baseline

**Puno**

- Retail & recreation: -68% compared to baseline
  
- Grocery & pharmacy: -37% compared to baseline
  
- Parks: -41% compared to baseline

- Transit stations: -67% compared to baseline

- Workplace: -40% compared to baseline

- Residential: +24% compared to baseline
San Martin

Retail & recreation
-84% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-34% compared to baseline

Parks
-45% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-71% compared to baseline

Workplace
-42% compared to baseline

Residential
+23% compared to baseline

Tacna

Retail & recreation
-78% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-41% compared to baseline

Parks
-67% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-74% compared to baseline

Workplace
-52% compared to baseline

Residential
+29% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
### Tumbes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage Compared to Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>-64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>-43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>+29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ucayali

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage Compared to Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>-47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>-54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>-53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>+29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not enough data for this date:* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the median value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.
- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn’t met (when somewhere isn’t busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don’t show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people’s privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual’s location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn’t shared.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility