Colorado  June 6, 2020

Mobility changes

This dataset is intended to help remediate the impact of COVID-19. It shouldn’t be used for medical diagnostic, prognostic, or treatment purposes. It also isn’t intended to be used for guidance on personal travel plans.

Each Community Mobility Report dataset is presented by location and highlights the percent change in visits to places like grocery stores and parks within a geographic area. How to use this report.

Location accuracy and the understanding of categorized places varies from region to region, so we don’t recommend using this data to compare changes between countries, or between regions with different characteristics (e.g. rural versus urban areas).

We’ll leave a region out of the report if we don’t have statistically significant levels of data. To learn how we calculate these trends and preserve privacy, read About this data.

Retail & recreation

-26%
compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like restaurants, cafes, shopping centers, theme parks, museums, libraries, and movie theaters.

Grocery & pharmacy

-4%
compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like grocery markets, food warehouses, farmers markets, specialty food shops, drug stores, and pharmacies.

Parks

+27%
compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like national parks, public beaches, marinas, dog parks, plazas, and public gardens.
Transit stations
-32% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places like public transport hubs such as subway, bus, and train stations.

Workplaces
-17% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of work.

Residential
+7% compared to baseline

Mobility trends for places of residence.
### Adams County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Change Compared to Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>+1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>+11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td>-17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alamosa County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Change Compared to Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>+14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Arapahoe County

Retail & recreation -26% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy -6% compared to baseline

Parks +22% compared to baseline

Transit stations -23% compared to baseline

Workplaces -18% compared to baseline

Residential +8% compared to baseline

Archuleta County

Retail & recreation -6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy -6% compared to baseline

Parks +22% compared to baseline

Transit stations -24% compared to baseline

Workplaces -24% compared to baseline

Residential -24% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Bent County

Retail & recreation
- 80%
- 40%
Baseline
Sat, Apr 25 Sat, May 16 Sat, Jun 6

Grocery & pharmacy
- 80%
- 40%
Baseline
Sat, Apr 25 Sat, May 16 Sat, Jun 6

Parks
- 80%
- 40%
Baseline
Sat, Apr 25 Sat, May 16 Sat, Jun 6

Transit stations
- 80%
- 40%
Baseline
Sat, Apr 25 Sat, May 16 Sat, Jun 6

Workplaces
- 34% compared to baseline

Residential
- 80%
- 40%
Baseline
Sat, Apr 25 Sat, May 16 Sat, Jun 6

Boulder County

Retail & recreation
- 32% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
- 11% compared to baseline

Parks
+ 38% compared to baseline

Transit stations
- 31% compared to baseline

Workplaces
- 17% compared to baseline

Residential
+ 9% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Broomfield County

Retail & recreation
-37% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+12% compared to baseline

Parks

Transit stations
-41% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-12% compared to baseline

Residential
+17% compared to baseline

Chaffee County

Retail & recreation
+20% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations
-18% compared to baseline

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Clear Creek County

Retail & recreation

-41% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+80%
+40%
-80%
Baseline

Parks

-14% compared to baseline

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplaces

+80%
+40%
Baseline

Residential

+80%
+40%
Baseline

Conejos County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

-16% compared to baseline

Workplaces

+80%
+40%
Baseline

Residential

+80%
+40%
Baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Delta County

Retail & recreation

-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

+6% compared to baseline

Parks

+18% compared to baseline

Transit stations

Workplaces

-4% compared to baseline

Residential

+4% compared to baseline

Denver County

Retail & recreation

-44% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-5% compared to baseline

Parks

+8% compared to baseline

Transit stations

Workplaces

-22% compared to baseline

Residential

+8% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
### Douglas County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage Compared to Baseline</th>
<th>Graph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>+10%</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>+8%</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Eagle County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage Compared to Baseline</th>
<th>Graph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>-45%</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>+19%</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit stations</td>
<td>-36%</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td>-53%</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>+11%</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
El Paso County

Retail & recreation
-21% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-2% compared to baseline

Parks
-6% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-22% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-17% compared to baseline

Residential
+6% compared to baseline

Elbert County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations
-7% compared to baseline

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Fremont County

Retail & recreation
-2% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+24% compared to baseline

Parks
+81% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-6% compared to baseline

Workplaces

Residential
+4% compared to baseline

Garfield County

Retail & recreation
-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+3% compared to baseline

Parks
+88% compared to baseline

Transit stations
+7% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-21% compared to baseline

Residential
+6% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Gilpin County

Retail & recreation
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Parks
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-50% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Residential
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Grand County

Retail & recreation
-34% compared to baseline
-8% compared to baseline
-27% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-8% compared to baseline
-27% compared to baseline

Parks
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-72% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-36% compared to baseline

Residential
-80% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline
-20% compared to baseline
-40% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
**Gunnison County**

**Retail & recreation**
-34% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
+16% compared to baseline

**Parks**

**Transit stations**

**Workplaces**
-31% compared to baseline

**Residential**

---

**Huerfano County**

**Retail & recreation**

**Grocery & pharmacy**

**Parks**

**Transit stations**

**Workplaces**
-18% compared to baseline

**Residential**

---

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Jefferson County

Retail & recreation
-28% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-12% compared to baseline

Parks
+7% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-7% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-16% compared to baseline

Residential
+7% compared to baseline

Kit Carson County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
### La Plata County

#### Retail & recreation
-5% compared to baseline

#### Grocery & pharmacy
+31% compared to baseline

#### Parks
+33% compared to baseline

#### Transit stations
-32% compared to baseline

#### Workplaces
-24% compared to baseline

#### Residential
+11% compared to baseline

---

### Lake County

#### Retail & recreation

#### Grocery & pharmacy

#### Parks

#### Transit stations
-37% compared to baseline

#### Workplaces

#### Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Larimer County

Retail & recreation
-24% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-3% compared to baseline

Parks
+93% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-15% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-15% compared to baseline

Residential
+6% compared to baseline

Las Animas County

Retail & recreation
-1% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations
-11% compared to baseline

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Lincoln County

**Retail & recreation**
-9% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-40% compared to baseline

**Parks**
-40% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
+50% compared to baseline

**Workplaces**
-26% compared to baseline

**Residential**
-5% compared to baseline

Logan County

**Retail & recreation**
-9% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
-40% compared to baseline

**Parks**
-40% compared to baseline

**Transit stations**
-5% compared to baseline

**Workplaces**
-40% compared to baseline

**Residential**
-40% compared to baseline

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Mesa County

Retail & recreation
-6% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+7% compared to baseline

Parks
+122% compared to baseline

Transit stations
+1% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-12% compared to baseline

Residential
+3% compared to baseline

Moffat County

Retail & recreation
-18% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Montezuma County

**Retail & recreation**
+3% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**

**Parks**

Montrose County

**Retail & recreation**
-6% compared to baseline

**Grocery & pharmacy**
+17% compared to baseline

**Parks**

*Not enough data for this date.* Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Morgan County

Retail & recreation
-17% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-2% compared to baseline

Parks

Transit stations
+45% compared to baseline

Workplaces
+11% compared to baseline

Residential
+6% compared to baseline

Otero County

Retail & recreation
-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces
-11% compared to baseline

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
**Park County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>+60% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>+80% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>-15% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phillips County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; recreation</td>
<td>+80% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery &amp; pharmacy</td>
<td>+80% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>-57% compared to baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Pitkin County

Retail & recreation
-70% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations
-83% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-56% compared to baseline

Residential

Prowers County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations
-1% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-7% compared to baseline

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Pueblo County

Retail & recreation
-14% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+9% compared to baseline

Parks
+9% compared to baseline

Transit stations
+8% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-11% compared to baseline

Residential
+4% compared to baseline

Rio Blanco County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Rio Grande County

Retail & recreation

-80%  
-40%  
Baseline  
+80%  
+40%  
Sat, Apr 25  Sat, May 16  Sat, Jun 6

Grocery & pharmacy

-80%  
-40%  
Baseline  
+80%  
+40%  
Sat, Apr 25  Sat, May 16  Sat, Jun 6

Parks

-80%  
-40%  
Baseline  
+80%  
+40%  
Sat, Apr 25  Sat, May 16  Sat, Jun 6

Transit stations

-80%  
-40%  
Baseline  
+80%  
+40%  
Sat, Apr 25  Sat, May 16  Sat, Jun 6

Workplaces

-15%  compared to baseline

Residential

-80%  
-40%  
Baseline  
+80%  
+40%  
Sat, Apr 25  Sat, May 16  Sat, Jun 6

Routt County

Retail & recreation

-44%  compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy

-20%  compared to baseline

Parks

+4%  compared to baseline

Transit stations

-64%  compared to baseline

Workplaces

-43%  compared to baseline

Residential

* Not enough data for this date: Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Saguache County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

-20% compared to baseline

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces

-40% compared to baseline

Residential

San Miguel County

Retail & recreation

Grocery & pharmacy

Parks

Transit stations

Workplaces

-43% compared to baseline

Residential

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Summit County

Retail & recreation
-58% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
-41% compared to baseline

Parks
-41% compared to baseline

Transit stations
-63% compared to baseline

Workplaces
-49% compared to baseline

Residential
Not enough data for this date

Teller County

Retail & recreation
+15% compared to baseline

Grocery & pharmacy
+24% compared to baseline

Parks
Not enough data for this date

Transit stations
-21% compared to baseline

Workplaces

Residential

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
Weld County

Retail & recreation -18% compared to baseline
Grocery & pharmacy -5% compared to baseline
Parks +43% compared to baseline
Transit stations -16% compared to baseline
Workplaces -8% compared to baseline
Residential +5% compared to baseline

Yuma County

Retail & recreation
Grocery & pharmacy
Parks
Transit stations
Workplaces
Residential

* Not enough data for this date. Currently, there is not enough data to provide a complete analysis of this place. Google needs a significant volume of data to generate an aggregated and anonymous view of trends.
About this data

These reports show how visits and length of stay at different places change compared to a baseline. We calculate these changes using the same kind of aggregated and anonymized data used to show popular times for places in Google Maps.

Changes for each day are compared to a baseline value for that day of the week:

- The baseline is the median value, for the corresponding day of the week, during the 5-week period Jan 3–Feb 6, 2020.

- The reports show trends over several weeks with the most recent data representing approximately 2-3 days ago—this is how long it takes to produce the reports.

What data is included in the calculation depends on user settings, connectivity, and whether it meets our privacy threshold. If the privacy threshold isn’t met (when somewhere isn’t busy enough to ensure anonymity) we don’t show a change for the day.

We include categories that are useful to social distancing efforts as well as access to essential services.

We calculate these insights based on data from users who have opted-in to Location History for their Google Account, so the data represents a sample of our users. As with all samples, this may or may not represent the exact behavior of a wider population.

Preserving privacy

These reports were developed to be helpful while adhering to our stringent privacy protocols and protecting people’s privacy. No personally identifiable information, like an individual’s location, contacts or movement, is made available at any point.

Insights in these reports are created with aggregated, anonymized sets of data from users who have turned on the Location History setting, which is off by default. People who have Location History turned on can choose to turn it off at any time from their Google Account and can always delete Location History data directly from their Timeline.

These reports are powered by the same world-class anonymization technology that we use in our products every day and that keep your activity data private and secure. These reports use differential privacy, which adds artificial noise to our datasets enabling high quality results without identifying any individual person. These privacy-preserving protections also ensure that the absolute number of visits isn’t shared.

Further resources

To learn how you can best use this report in your work, visit Mobility Reports Help.

To get the latest report, visit google.com/covid19/mobility